3

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR C.G.

WRIT PETITION NO. 6457 /2005

PETITIONER : **Poonam Dubey**, W/o Anil Dubey, aged about 39 years, Working as Copyist in the Office of Shyam-D.N.W. Sub-Division No. 1, Department of Water Resources, Ambikapur, (C.G.), R/o – Pratappur Naka Ambikapur, District – Surguja, (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6500/05
Presented by Shri Manoj Jaiswal
dated 21/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. **State of Chhattisgarh**,
Through- the secretary,
Department of Water Resources, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, D.K. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Chief Engineer,
Hasdev Basin, Department of Water Resources, Bilaspur, (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21/12/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. The Superintending Engineer,
Shyam Burnai Project Circle, Department of Water Resources Ambikapur, (C.G.)

4. Smt. Shayama Sarkar, Presently posted at Kharang Division, appointed & being posted at the office of the Chief Engineer, Hasdev

(4)

Basin, Department of Water Resources, Bilaspur, (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS, ORDER/ORDERS, OR/AND DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI, OR OF ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE NATURE.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

37

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 6452/2005

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

3-1-2006

Shri Manoj Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ramakant Mishra, Govt. Adv. for the State.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is serving since 5-6-1986 as daily wage employee and gradation list has not been prepared properly as per the provisions. He further submits that the petitioner has submitted representation before the concerned competent authorities, but the same has not been decided.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner may be directed to make a representation afresh before the concerned competent authorities and if such representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of one month from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P. No. 5125/2005 and I.A. No. 10879/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy to both the parties.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathak

[पीछे देखिये